

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17032 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2019 Thana- AIRPORT District- Patna

Md. Perwez @ Md. Parwez S/O Mukhtar Hussain @ Mokhtar Ansari R/O
Vill.- Ward No 2, Nasriganj, P.S.- Nasriganj, Dist.- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Parmeshwar Mehta

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 419, 420,
467, 468, 471 of the IPC.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that in the exam conducted in pursuance of an
advertisement No. 1 of 2018, which was issued for appointment
of Constable Driver in the Bihar Police and Fire Brigade Driver
in the Fire Service, it would found that 12 candidates had
resorted to fraud by using other persons in the written
examination, physical efficiency test and driving efficiency test.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. It is next submitted that case is the year 2019 and petitioner had absolutely no knowledge that he was also implicated in the instant case and it was only when police came knocking his doors in August 2025 that petitioner came to know about his implication. It is also submitted that petitioner is a student and if he is sent to judicial custody, his entire career would get jeopardized and chances are bright that he may come in contact with hardened criminals. It is next submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner was also a candidate in pursuance of the aforesaid advertisement, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where



the case is pending/successor court in connection with Hawaii Adda P.S. Case No. 28 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father, Mukhtar Hussain @ Mokhtar Ansari.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

