

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14103 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-362 Year-2025 Thana- KHAGARIA District- Khagaria

Amarjeet Sharma @ Amarjeet Kumar Sharma S/O Mahesh Sharma Resident  
of Vill.- Ajhaur, P.S- Nimachadpura ,District - Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Praveen Kumar Agrawal  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Braj Kishore Pd.(App)

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      11-03-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 30(a) and  
30(d) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of  
recovery of 200 litres of Jawa Mahua from a poultry farm. It is  
next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as  
such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and  
is not the owner of the poultry farm. It is also submitted that  
petitioner is not named in the FIR and came to be implicated  
during the course of investigation.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for



anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Khagaria P.S. Case No.362/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

amit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

