

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19014 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-648 Year-2024 Thana- MADHEPURA District- Madhepura

1. Jang Bahadur Yadav Son of Late Jtan Yadav Resident of Village- Sakarpura Ward No. 02, P.S.- Bharrahi, District- Madhepura.
2. Subhash Kumar @ Subhash Yadav S/o Upendra Yadav Resident of Village- Sakarpura Ward No. 02, P.S.- Bharrahi, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amarnath Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-03-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor

appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The accused/petitioners apprehending their

arrest in connection with Bharrahi P.S. Case No. 648 of

2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections

363, 366(A), 34 of the IPC.

3. As per FIR, petitioners alongwith other co-

accused persons after a criminal trespass to the house of the

informant kidnapped the minor sister of the informant, aged

about 15 years.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on



behalf of the petitioners that sister of the informant was in love affairs with co-accused Babli Yadav and she left her parental house out of her own sweet will. It is pointed out that she solemnized marriage with Babli Yadav and living happily having two childrens. It is submitted that petitioner no. 1 implicated only being uncle of main co-accused Babli Yadav and petitioner no. 2 as own brother, who otherwise facing very much general and omnibus allegation as per face of FIR.

5. Arguing further, it is submitted that police after investigation submitted final form against both petitioners but learned Magistrate by taking different note, took cognizance against both petitioners.

6. Explaining criminal antecedent, it is pointed out that petitioner no. 1 is a man of clean antecedent and petitioner no. 2 found involved in one case, where also police after investigation submitted closure report against him.

7. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

8. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as the thrust of allegation is available



against co-accused Babli Yadav, where both petitioners *prima facie* appears to be implicated being relative/family members of co-accused Babli Yadav with general and omnibus allegation *qua* their participation with crime in question, accordingly, both above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court, within a period of four weeks of the order, are directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Madhepura/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Bharrahi P.S. Case No. 648 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

