

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14189 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2025 Thana- PAHARKATTA District- Kishanganj

Jamrul @ Md. Jamrul S/o Imamuddin R/o Village- Malbasti Paharkatta, P.S-
Paharkatta, Dist- Kishanganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 24-06-2026 Heard Mr. Raj Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Ram Pravesh Kumar, learned counsel
representing the informant as also learned APP representing the
State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Paharkatta P.S. Case No. 102 of 2025 registered
for the offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 64(1), 352 and
3(5), lodged on 21.08.2025 by the informant Mausmi.

3. As per the prosecution story, the lady who is
married to the petitioner's brother alleged that her husband is
residing outside in the State of Sikkim for livelihood and in the
night when she went out to attend the nature's call, the petitioner
dragged her and subsequently committed rape, when she went to
the petitioner's wife to complain, was abused/assaulted. She



informed her husband and later, complaint which turned into the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is land dispute between the parties, the husband of the complainant is own brother of the petitioner, there is delay in making the complaint, the Police submitted final form but later, cognizance taken initiating the present anticipatory bail.

5. Learned counsel for the informant on the other hand submits that the statement of the victim lady has come alongwith case diary and it clearly supports the prosecution story. The petitioner despite being own brother of the informant's husband committed rape of her.

6. Taking into account the submission of the parties as also the allegation that has come against him which has been reiterated by the complainant, no relief can be extended to the petitioner.

7. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

