

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15192 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-167 Year-2025 Thana- HASANPUR District- Samastipur

Md. Hakkani, Son of Md. Kausher Ali @ Md. Kausher @ Kausher Ali, R/o-
Goldah, Sasan, P.S. - Hasanpur, District - Samastipur, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vinay Kumar Mishra, Advocate
		Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

5 14-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Hasanpur P.S. Case no.167 of 2025 registered under sections 85, 80 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that he married his daughter to the petitioner in the year 2021. Two daughters were born out of the said wedlock. It is further stated that the accused persons including the petitioner herein physically and mentally tortured his daughter for non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry by way of Rs.5 lacs. Ultimately the informant's daughter was done to death in the



night of 8th and 9th September, 2025, information of which was received by the informant through his relatives. The informant states that postmortem has been conducted.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case only for the reason that he happens to be the husband of the deceased. The allegations levelled in the F.I.R. are categorically denied. There has never been any demand of dowry nor has there been any complaint in the past. Charge-sheet has been submitted in the case and the petitioner is in custody since 11.9.2025. He has no criminal antecedent.

5. The prayer for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State who submits that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of torture and assault and the petitioner happens to be the husband of the deceased. Further referring to the postmortem report it is submitted that the cause of death of the deceased is strangulation by hand resulting in asphyxia.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the F.I.R., the petitioner being the husband of the deceased and the cause of death of the deceased being strangulation by hand resulting in asphyxia, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the



petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

7. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

