

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17289 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-152 Year-2025 Thana- Chhaudahi District- Begusarai

Ram Sikeel Kumar Son of Ganga Yadav @ Ganga Ram Yadav R/O Vill-
Dumri Ward No.2, P.S.- Chhaurahi, Distt.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashank Shekhar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 109(1) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 30.10.2025 at 10.00 am, his son went to the house of Ganga for demanding his outstanding dues on which Ganga became furious and threatened to kill, thereafter, all the accused persons surrounded his son and petitioner assaulted his son by iron rod causing injury on head and when the informant intervened the accused persons also assaulted him and Ganga



snatched chain of his son and threatened to kill.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that the date of occurrence is 30.10.2025 and FIR came to be instituted on 02.11.2025 i.e. after a delay of three days without any plausible explanation. It is also submitted that it might be the possibility that the occurrence took place in some other manner and the informant by way of afterthought implicated the accused persons including the petitioner. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged to be true without admitting but then the injury has been opined to be simple in nature and the blow is not alleged to be repeated and petitioner is not a criminal.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor



Court in connection with Chhaurahi P.S. Case No. 152 of 2025,
subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of
the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

