

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14786 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-380 Year-2024 Thana- PATEPUR District- Vaishali

Jagdish Ray S/O Ramanand Ray R/O Vill.- Nauwa Chak, P.S.- Harlochanpur
Sukki, Dist.- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shabina Talat

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Harendra Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 29-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Patepur (Harilochanpur) P.S. Case No. 380 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80 & 3(5) of BNS.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant alleged that his daughter, who was married to co-accused Amresh Kumar in the year 2019 and had two children from the wedlock, was subjected to cruelty and torture by her husband and in-laws for demand of dowry of a vehicle and a chain. It is further alleged that on 11.12.2024 at about 10 A.M., the accused persons assaulted her and murdered her by strangulation.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated. He is a



person of clean antecedent. He has no concern with the demand of dowry. There is general and omnibus allegation of demand of dowry and torture.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. The petitioner is the father-in-law of the deceased. Earlier also, the petitioner had approached this Court for grant of anticipatory bail along with Beepin Kumar (*devar*) vide Cr. Misc. No. 33917 of 2025. By order dated 4.11.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 33917 of 2025, Beepin Kumar (*devar*) was granted anticipatory bail, but the prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail was rejected. Earlier, by order dated 16.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 17845 of 2025, Pradeep Rai (cousin father-in-law) has also been granted regular bail by this Court. Subsequent to the rejection of the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner on 4.11.2025, the regular bail application of the husband, vide Cr. Misc. No. 81242 of 2025, has been allowed on 03.12.2025. Therefore, there is a changed circumstance after the earlier anticipatory bail application of the petitioner was rejected on 04.11.2025 and hence, for these reasons, I am inclined to entertain the second anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner and also consider it appropriate to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner, as named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Patepur (Harilochanpur) P.S. Case No. 380 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and subject to further condition that :-

(i) The petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation/ trial.

(ii) The learned Court below would, however, verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the Court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, it is expected that the verification process would be done expeditiously without causing any delay.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

