

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3909 of 2026

=====

Chintaharan Kumar Son of Hitnarayan Ray, resident of Sitalpatti Purvi, P.O.-
Dhaka, P.S.-Chiraiya, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary Land and Revenue Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
3. The District Magistrate, East Champaran.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, East Champaran.
5. The D.C.L.R., Sikrahana, Dhaka, East Champaran.
6. The Circle Officer, Chiraiya, East Champaran.
7. The National Highway Authority of India through the Chairman, New Delhi.
8. Director, National Highway Authority, Delhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Ambastha, SC-26
	:	Mr. Tripurari Nath Ambastha, AC to SC-26
For the NHAI	:	Mr. Kumar Goutam, Advocate
	:	Ms. Priyanshu Kumari, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 31-03-2026 Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner and learned counsel representing the State as also

learned counsel for the National Highways Authority of India

(henceforth for short ‘the NHAI’).

2. The present petition has been preferred for the

grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) for issuance of a writ in the nature of
mandamus directing the concerned respondent*



authorities to prepare the award and pay the compensation to the petitioner at the present market rate alongwith interest on delayed payment for the land pertaining to Thana No.114, Khata No.192, Khesra No.2045, Area-27 Decimal which has been acquired for the purpose of Bharat Mala Project but till date no compensation whatsoever has been paid to the petitioner. Or in the alternatives to hold that the acquisition has lapsed and as such the land be made be free from acquisition or to prepare fresh award.

(ii) for other necessary relief/reliefs to which the petitioner may be found entitled in the facts of the case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that bonafide case has been presented before the District Land Acquisition Officer, East Champaran, Motihari on 12.07.2025 but has not heard thereafter, forcing him to file the present case.

4. Both the learned State counsel as also learned counsel representing ‘the NHAI’ submit that if such petition has been filed, it will be taken to its logical conclusion.



5. This Court would expects that the petitioner file a fresh representation alongwith supporting document before the concerned respondent no.4, the District Land Acquisition Officer, East Champaran, Motihari who is duty bound to hear all the parties and dispose of the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law, at an earliest.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

