

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14211 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-391 Year-2024 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

1. Dinesh Das Son of Rajendra Das Resident of Village - Belai, P.S.- Ghoshi,
District - Jehanabad
2. Dukhani Devi Wife of Dinesh Das Resident of Village - Belai, P.S.- Ghoshi,
District - Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Advocate. Mr. Chunnu Kumar, Advocate.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 13-05-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Ghoshi P.S. Case No. 391 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 329(4), 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 117(2), 109, 352 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the F.I.R., the petitioners along with other accused persons with an intention to kill assaulted the informant and his family members causing injuries.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they



have falsely been implicated in the case. There is case and counter case between the parties. Both the parties entered into fierce fight for not compromising a matter. The injury attributable to the petitioner no.1 which was sustained by Lalti Devi is grievous in nature, but not on the vital part of the body. Petitioner no.2 is the wife of petitioner no.1. General and omnibus allegation has been alleged against her that she had snatched a golden jitiya from the neck of Lalti Devi. On these grounds, learned counsel submitted that the petitioners deserve to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the F.I.R. and the fact that the injury attributable to the petitioner no.1 which was sustained by Lalti Devi is grievous in nature, but not on the vital part of the body and the petitioner no.2 is the wife of petitioner no.1 and the allegation alleged against her that she had snatched a golden jitiya from the neck of Lalti Devi is general and omnibus. I find that the petitioners have prima facie, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be



released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Jehanabad in connection with Ghoshi P.S. Case No. 391 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C / 482(2) BNSS.

8. The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para-3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its force.

9. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

