

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15943 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-369 Year-2025 Thana- DIGHA District- Patna

=====

Santosh Ram Son of Jai Kishor Ram Resident of Village- Karpi Puran, P.S.-
Karpi, District- Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pranav Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 29-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Digha P.S. Case No.369 of 2025 instituted under Section
303(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has
alleged that his Apache motorcycle bearing Registration
No.BR01JC9014 which was registered in the name of his
brother Raju Kumar was stolen outside the door of his rented
house situated at Balu Road Ganganagar, P.S. Digha where the
petitioner and co-accused Pinku Kumar were also living. It is
alleged that since the day of occurrence, the petitioner and co-
accused both disappeared from their respective rented house and
informant claimed that the alleged motorcycle was stolen by the



petitioner and co-accused Pinku Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the recovery of alleged stolen motorcycle was made from the possession of co-accused Pinku Kumar and no incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel submits that Rs.80,000/- was given by the petitioner to informant for purchasing a four wheeler car but the informant did not arrange the financing of car in favour of petitioner and with *mala fide* he had implicated the petitioner. He further submits that petitioner has got clean antecedent and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial of the case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and the nature of allegation against the petitioner as well as his clean antecedent, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to each to the



satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patna/
concerned Court in connection with Digha P.S. Case No.369 of
2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of
the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Ritik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

