

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13718 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2025 Thana- HASPURA District- Aurangabad

Vikash Paswan @ Vikash Kumar S/O Late Sikendar Paswan R/O village-
Chanhat, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amit Anand, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP
For the Informant	:	Ms. Nitee Ranjan, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Haspura P.S. Case No. 77 of 2025 instituted for the offence
under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 117(2),
109(1), 103(1), 76 & 303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023.

3. The case of the prosecution is that altogether 33
persons named in the FIR have assaulted the family members of
the informant due to which one Chandradeep Bhagat died.

4. Earlier, vide order dated 29.08.2025 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 53119 of 2025, the prayer of the petitioner for grant



of anticipatory bail was rejected by a coordinate Bench of this Court.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case and the entire prosecution case is false and fabricated. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 13.11.2025 and has one criminal antecedent.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner stating that there is direct allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted the deceased and one Suresh due to which they sustained injuries and during the course of treatment, Chandradeep (deceased) succumbed to injuries. Learned APP and learned counsel for the informant jointly prayed that petitioner does not deserve to be released on bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also there being specific allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the deceased, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.



8. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the
petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

