

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18935 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-143 Year-2020 Thana- MAHARAJGANJ District- Siwan

1. Ramdev Bin @ Ramdeo Bin Son of Jatan Bin Resident of Village - Balia, P.S. - Maharajganj, District - Siwan.
2. Dhiraj Bin @ Dhiraj @ Niraj Kumar Son of Ramdev Bin @ Ramdeo Bin Resident of Village - Balia, P.S. - Maharajganj, District - Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bijay Prakash Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 30-04-2026 Heard Mr. Bijay Prakash Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Maharajganj P.S. Case No. 143 of 2020 registered under Sections 147, 341, 342, 323, 324, 307, 435 of the I.P.C..

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, while the informant had gone to bring back his grazing goat, the petitioners along with other accused persons allegedly surrounded him and assaulted him with lathi and sharp weapons causing injuries, also assaulted the interveners and set fire to his hut.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. The allegations are general and omnibus in nature, particularly against petitioner no. 2, and even so far as petitioner no. 1 is concerned, the allegation is confined to a single lathi blow. The injury report discloses only simple injuries caused by hard and blunt substance. The present case is a counter-blast to Maharajganj P.S. Case No. 141 of 2020 instituted earlier by the wife of a co-accused, indicating a case of free fight between co-villagers, with no specific motive attributed in the F.I.R. The petitioners have clean antecedent. On these grounds, the petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the record, it appears that the injuries sustained by the informant are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. There is a case and a counter-case between the parties arising out of a free fight between co-villagers. Accordingly, considering the aforesaid circumstances I am of the opinion that the petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.



7. The learned District Court is directed to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned District Court where the case is pending in connection with Maharajganj P.S. Case No. 143 of 2020, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners, as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioners, as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Ashishsingh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

