

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14105 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2025 Thana- MANJHAUL District- Begusarai

Ravi Kishan Kumar @ Ravi Kishan Kumar Paswan S/o Basant Paswan R/o
Village - Majhaul Gadkhauli Ward No. 2, P.S - Manjhaul, District -
Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Prabhat, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T.
No. 1027 of 2025 arising out of Manjhaul P.S. Case No. 34 of
2025 instituted for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2),
118(1), 109(1), 308(4), 352, 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023.

3. Earlier vide order dated 21.08.2025 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 56696 of 2025 regular bail of the petitioner was
rejected by this Court considering the specific and direct
allegation against the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
present one is the second attempt for grant of regular bail to the



petitioner. It is mainly submitted that in this case charges against the petitioner have been framed on 15.11.2025 and since then the case is running for evidence and till now, no witness has been examined. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 20.04.2025. Learned counsel further submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future. Learned counsel goes on to submit that the continued incarceration of the petitioner without conclusion of trial amounts to a gross violation of the fundamental right guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, which is reiterated by Hon'ble Apex Court in plethora of judgments.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner and taking into account the fact that continued detention of the petitioner would serve no purpose other than punitive pretrial incarceration, which is antithetical to the spirit of Article 21 of the Constitution of India, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing



bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with S.T. No. 1027 of 2025 arising out of Manjhaul P.S. Case No. 34 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be the petitioner's own or a close family member.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed during the trial and shall not remain absent on two consecutive dates without sufficient cause.

(III) The petitioner shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence/intimidate any witness during the course of trial.

In case of violation of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

