

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13737 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-571 Year-2024 Thana- RAMPUR District- Gaya

=====

Binita Kumari @ Vinita Devi W/o Dilip Kumar @ Surendra Ram Resident of
Mohalla- M.I.G.- 82, Shahid Bhagat Singh Colony, Mustafabad, P.S.-
Rampur, District- Gayajee

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 23-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3),
340(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Prosecution case, in brief, is that one house No.
MH-82 was allotted to the mother of informant by Bihar State
Housing Board on making payment of Rs. 1,59,000/-. After the
death of the parents of informant, when informant searched
about the said property, this petitioner contacted him and said
that the said house was sold to this petitioner by his mother.
Informant alleges that this petitioner occupied the house of his
mother by submitting forged documents, with fake signatures of
his mother, in the office of Bihar State Housing Board.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. As a matter of fact, in the year 2006, mother of the informant sold the said house to the in-laws of the petitioner on making payment of Rs. 70,000/- and rest loan being due before the informant to the Housing Board. On 16.02.2006, one agreement in this regard was made between the mother of informant and mother-in-law of the petitioner (Annexure-P/2) and pursuant to the said agreement, this petitioner along with her family are living in the house in question and paying electricity bill for the same since June 2007 and as such, no forgery or cheating was done on part of the petitioner. Moreover, dispute involved in this case is with regard to sale and purchase of property which is purely of civil nature. Petitioner is lady and claims clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering backdrop of the case, nature of accusation and clean antecedent, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioner in the event of her arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Gayajee in connection with Rampur P. S. Case No. 571 of 2024,
subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

