

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15181 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-9 Year-2025 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Madhubani

=====

Purushottam Kumar @ PuruShottam Yadav S/o- Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Kumar Yadav @ Santosh Yadav R/v- Nahar Chowck Atari Ps- Laukahi Dist- Madhubani Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bhavesh Kumar Sah, Advocate
	:	Ms. Archana Aanand, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 01-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with C.R. (CO) Case No. 09 of 2025, for allegedly having committed offence under Sections 18(C), 27(b)(ii) and 27(d) of the Drug and Cosmetic Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the Drug Inspector Sadar Hospital Madhubani filed a complaint case bearing C.R. Case No. 09 of 2025 against the petitioner and others alleging therein that in the light of letter no. 250, dated 29.03.2025 of Assistant Drug Controller, Madhubani, the officials of the raiding party seized some medicines and the same were handed over to the S.H.O., Laukahi Police Station, District- Madhubani, for which, a first information report was lodged bearing Laukahi



P.S. Case No. 58 of 2025 for offences under Sections 8, 21(c) and 22(c) of the N.D.P.S. and subsequently on 29.03.2025, the investigating team arrived at Laukahi Police Station and asked for the copy of the first information report and thereafter, the present case has been lodged.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the same offence, two FIR have been lodged. The petitioner has been granted regular bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Laukahi P.S. Case No.58 of 2025, vide order dated 18.06.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 37806 of 2025. The petitioner is only a driver of the auto, from which the alleged medicine is said to have been seized. Further, two other persons, namely, Amod Kumar Yadav and Prem Kumar Pandit have voluntarily came and claimed the medicines, which have been seized from the spot, therefore, it cannot be said that the medicines belong to the petitioner. The petitioner has got one criminal antecedent i.e. Laukahi P.S. Case No. 58 of 2025, for the same offence, in which he is on bail.

5. The learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner is a driver and for the



same occurrence, two FIRs have been lodged and in Laukahi P.S. Case No. 58/2025, the petitioner was arrested and was subsequently granted regular bail by the learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge, Madhubani in connection with C.R. (CO) Case No. 09 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., with further condition:

(1) The learned Court concerned shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court concerned shall take steps for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Ritesh Kumar, J)

AjayMishra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

