

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
SECOND APPEAL No.60 of 1992

- 1.1. Naresh Kumar Singh Son of late Basant Mandal, Resident of Village Kathara, P.S. Sultanganj, District- Bhagalpur.
- 1.2. Ashok Kumar Son of late Basant Mandal, Resident of Village Kathara, P.S. Sultanganj, District- Bhagalpur.
- 1.3. Manoj Kumar Son of Late Basant Mandal, Resident of Village Kathara, P.S. Sultanganj, District- Bhagalpur.
- 1.4. Uma Devi Widow of Late Basant Mandal, Resident of Village Kathara, P.S. Sultanganj, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

- 1.1. Tarni Prasad Mandal Husband of Late Lukhri Devi, Resident of Village and P.S. Bariarpur, District Munger also Village Kathara, P.S. Sultanganj, District Bhagalpur.
- 1.2. Dhuka Mandal Son of late Lukhri Devi, Resident of Village and P.S. Bariarpur, District Munger also Village Kathara, P.S. Sultanganj, District Bhagalpur.
- 1.3. Ram Mandal Son of late Lukhri Devi, Resident of Village and P.S. Bariarpur, District Munger also Village Kathara, P.S. Sultanganj, District Bhagalpur.
- 1.4. Bhagia Devi D/o late Lukhri Devi, Resident of Village and P.S. Bariarpur, District Munger also Village Kathara, P.S. Sultanganj, District Bhagalpur.
- 1.5. Aghiya Devi D/o late Lukhri Devi, Resident of Village and P.S. Bariarpur, District Munger also Village Kathara, P.S. Sultanganj, District Bhagalpur.
- 1.6. Radhiya Devi D/o late Lukhri Devi, Resident of Village and P.S. Bariarpur, District Munger also Village Kathara, P.S. Sultanganj, District Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : None
For the Respondent/s : None

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND MALVIYA
ORAL ORDER

25 13-07-2026 Nobody appears on behalf of both the parties.

2. On several dates i.e., on 22.01.2026, 13.03.2026, 30.03.2026 and 29.06.2026, nobody appears on behalf of the learned counsel for the appellants and even today on repeated



calls, nobody appears on behalf of learned counsel for the appellants to press this application.

3. On perusal of the records, it appears that this second appeal is of the year 1992 and the appellants are absented from January, 2026 and even today on repeated calls, nobody appears on behalf of the appellants, so in this circumstances, this second appeal no. 60 of 1992 is dismissed for default under Order 41 Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Anand Kr.

U			
---	--	--	--

