

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13557 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-179 Year-2025 Thana- AMNAUR District- Saran

Golu Kumar @ Aadarsh Kumar, Son of Naresh Singh @ Ram Naresh Singh,
R/o Village - Bheldi, P.S. - Bheldi, District - Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Amnour P.S. Case No. 179 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2) and 96 of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the minor daughter of the informant was missing from 27.04.2025. The informant came to know that one Alok Kumar, his brother-in-law Mithilesh Pandey @ Uma Pandey and his sister Anjali Pandey have kidnapped the minor daughter of the informant. She also came to know that her minor daughter has been kidnapped on the instance of present petitioner who was in custody at that time in connection with any other case.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. From perusal of the F.I.R. itself it is clear that the petitioner was in custody at that time. Only allegation against the petitioner is that on his instance, the victim was kidnapped. It has further been submitted that from perusal of the order of the learned trial Court it will transpire that the victim was recovered and a compromise petition was also filed by the victim and the petitioner but as the mother of the victim was not a party in that compromise, it was not considered. It has also been submitted that the F.I.R. itself goes to show that the petitioner was in custody at the time of the occurrence and his name has surfaced only on the basis of suspicion. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 13.08.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail and has submitted that petitioner is having criminal antecedent of six cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned
Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Saran at Chapra in connection
with Amnour P.S. Case No. 179 of 2025 **with the condition
that petitioner shall cooperate in trial and shall remain
physically present on each and every date in the learned
trial Court.**

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

