

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14932 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-43 Year-2024 Thana- Manikpur P.S District- Arwal

Manikant Kumar @ Manikant Singh Son of Lal Mohan Singh R/o Village -
Chatoi, Police Station - Manikpur, District - Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Saurav Suman, Advocate
	:	Mr. Adil Abbas, Advocate
	:	Mr. Dharam Deepak Vishwash, Advocate
	:	Mr. Vishal Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Bharat Lal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-03-2026 Heard Mr. Saurav Suman, learned counsel for the

petitioner as well as Mr. Bharat Lal, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
22.11.2025 in connection with Manikpur P.S. Case No. 43 of
2024, F.I.R. dated 25.03.2024 for the offences punishable under
Sections 323, 341, 307, 379, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. According to prosecution case, it is alleged that
over a dispute between the parties, the petitioner assaulted to
one Ajay Singh by means of lathi and iron rod.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. From bare perusal of the FIR it appears that due to some petty dispute on occasion of Holi, the present occurrence has taken place and as per allegation in the FIR it is alleged that the petitioner assaulted to one Ajay Singh but the injury sustained by injured person is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 22.11.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct and specific allegation of assault against the petitioner and apart from that petitioner carries two criminal antecedents out of which in one case he is acquitted whereas in the other he is one bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Arwal in connection with Manikpur P.S. Case No. 43 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:-

- i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be



properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

