

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14912 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-210 Year-2024 Thana- KOILWAR District- Bhojpur

=====

Tuntun Kumar @ Tuntun Ray S/O Binod Ray Village- Chakiya Kotwapatti,
P.S.-Doriganj, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Raj Kishor Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 10-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 302 and 34 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 01.05.2024 at 3.30
P.M., the son of the informant, namely Vikash Mahto and some
villagers went to Gadhaiya Sand Mines to work there where
firing took place to establish supremacy on mining of sand in
which some persons got injured by bullets. The informant went
there and found his son and Sudarshan Rai got injured by bullets
in the said firing and died during their treatment. It is further
alleged that the informant and Tulsi Rai, the father of Sudarshan



Rai, went to hospital and found that the bullets hit 3-4 places on the bodies of the deceased. They also came to know that at Kamaluchak Gadhaiya Balu Ghat, the incident of firing took place between the group of Satyendra Pandey, his son Niraj Pandey and Guddu Rai and in the said incident, the informant's son Vikash Mahto and Sudarshan Rai died due to injuries of bullet and one Purnmashi Ram, the villager of the informant also sustained injuries of firearms in the said incident.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R.. Name of petitioner transpired during investigation only on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused person. Save and except confessional statement, there is no material on record to show the complicity of this petitioner in the alleged offence. It is further submitted that co-accused Majnu Ray, having similar and identical allegations, has already been granted bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 18.02.2026 passed in *Cr. Misc. No. 9471 of 2026*. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioner is in custody since 03.11.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail to the petitioner.



6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation, claim based on parity and period of custody, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, let the petitioner, mentioned above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 17th Additional Sessions Judge, Bhojpur, Ara in connection with Koilwar P.S. Case No. 210 of 2024.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

