

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13935 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2025 Thana- MEDNI CHAUKI District- Lakhisarai

Subodh Kumar Son of Late Bhuneshwar Mahto Resident of Village - Khawa
Chandra Tola, P.S.- Mednichowki, District - Lakhisarai, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Niraj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madan Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
127(2), 115(2), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3)5) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that he declined the proposal of marriage of the
petitioner with his minor daughter. Further, when the informant
and his wife were away, the petitioner came with arms and
threatened his daughter to marry. On alarm, people gathered and
petitioner fled away committing theft of jewellery and even
tried to forcibly take his daughter away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the



informant. It is further submitted that though it is alleged that petitioner came with arms and threatened the daughter of the informant but then the FIR has not been instituted under the Arms Act. It is next submitted that petitioner is a mason by profession and has been working and residing at Amritsar since long and had given loan of Rs.1,80,000/- to the husband of the informant but then the amount was not being returned on account of which an altercation had taken place earlier and thereafter the petitioner came to be implicated in the instant case. It is also submitted that if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case



is pending/Successor Court in connection with Mednichowki P.S. Case No. 28 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. However, it is made clear that if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

