

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16403 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-660 Year-2023 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Sandeep Kumar Sharma @ Tinku Sharma @ Suresh Sharma, S/O Umesh
Mistri, R/O Arai, Erai, Patna, District- Patna, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ayodhya Prasad S/O Late Mauji Mistri, R/O Vill.- Ekangarsarai, Jehanabad
Road, Power Grid, P.O and P.S- Ekangarsarai, Dist- Nalanda

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akash Anand, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Complaint Case No. 660 of 2023, dated
01.06.2023, filed for the offences punishable under Sections
498A and 304/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per allegation, the petitioner and other co-
accused have committed dowry death of the daughter of the
informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. He further submits that petitioner is no way related with



husband of the deceased/Punam Kumari, the daughter of the informant. Hence, there is no question of commission of any offence, let alone dowry death. He also submits that except husband, all the co-accused have got anticipatory bail by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 15.10.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 41324 of 2025 and vide order dated 11.12.2015 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 83432 of 2025.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court Below, in connection with Complaint



Case No. 660 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedent, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J.)

ravishankar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

