

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13232 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-443 Year-2025 Thana- HUSSAINGANJ District- Siwan

Anand Kumar @ Anand Prasad S/o Late Rajendra Prasad R/o Village-
Mahapur, Khajarauni, P.S- Hussainganj, P.O.- Faridpur, Dist- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Lakshmi Kumari, Advocate
	:	Mr. Ankesh Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Kanishk Kaustubh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 29-04-2026 Heard Ms. Lakshmi Kumari, learned counsel
for the petitioner duly assisted by Mr. Ankesh Kumar and Mr.
Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP representing the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Hussainganj P.S. Case No. 443 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, lodged on 02.12.2025 by the informant, Rambabu Prasad.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that on dispute of construction of drain, armed variously, the attack took place. While Keshav Prasad attacked the informant by a sickle causing injury on the head, this petitioner attacked Abhimanyu, again on the head as also right arm causing



injuries. This led to the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is case and counter case, both side have suffered, the injury report records, injury as 3 cm X 0.3 cm on the head as also 0.5 cm on the left arm and though the nature has not been recorded, a perusal would show that it is simple in nature, this petitioner has no criminal antecedent and shall be diligently appearing in trial, if granted relief.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that he has caused injury to the other side.

6. Taking into account the submissions of the parties as also that the injury has not been recorded as grievous in nature, this petitioner has no criminal antecedent, there is case and counter case, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Siwan, in connection with Hussainganj P.S. Case No. 443 of 2025 subject to the following conditions:-



(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document (Aadhar Card/Voter Id/Driving License/Pan Card) to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

