

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17044 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-342 Year-2025 Thana- PAKARIBARAW District- Nawada

1. Gautam Kumar @ Golu Son of Indradev Yadav Resident of Village - Datroul, P.S. - Pakriwarwan, Dist. - Nawada.
2. Dinesh Yadav @ Dines Kumar Son of Chandrika Yadav Resident of Village - Datroul, P.S. - Pakriwarwan, Dist. - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amit Ranjan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tapeshwar Sharma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 352(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the accused persons came and started abusing and enquired about her father-in-law, on objection by her mother-in-law not to abuse her husband, Chandrika Yadav assaulted her by rod causing injury on head and thereafter Indradev and Amirak assaulted the



informant by Khanti causing injury on head and hand, further Dinesh, Vikash and Ajit assaulted her brother-in-law causing injury on head and hand and other accused assaulted her sister-in-law causing injury on hand and body and also assaulted her other son-in-law

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioner no. 1 and as far as petitioner no. 2 is concerned, he is alleged to have assaulted the brother-in-law of the informant on head and hand along with Vikash and Ajit, thus the allegation of assault is not specific. It is also submitted that petitioners are not criminals.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Pakriwrawan P.S. Case No. 342 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

