

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13486 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-482 Year-2025 Thana- NAWADA MUFFASIL District- Nawada

Raghvender Kukmar @ Raghvendra Kumar @ Ragvendra Kumar, aged about 31 years, Male, Son of Ashok Prasad, Resident of Village - Bhagwanpur, P.S.- Muffasil, District - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Humayou Ahmad Khan, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND MALVIYA
ORAL ORDER

7 19-05-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant heard through virtual mode.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 482 of 2025 instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109 and 352 of the BNS.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is to have assaulted the informant and his family members by means of sword as a result of which they sustained grievous injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. He submits that petitioner and informant are agnates and there are some dispute is going



on between both the parties. He further submits that no any witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution. He next submits a complaint case was also filed by the petitioner against the informant. Petitioner has got clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the petition and he is in custody since 09.01.2026.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant oppose the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is the main assailants and several injuries have been found on persons of the injured, so he does not deserve for grant of regular bail.

6. From perusal of the case diary, FIR and also perused the impugned order dated 31.01.2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-III, Nawada, it appears that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of having assaulted the informant on his head, as well as informant wife, who sustained a sharp cut injury and while attempting to rescue her children, who was allegedly under threat by the petitioner. From perusal of the injury report of the informant, it appears that the injury discloses a skull cavity-deep of size 8 cm X 1 cm over left fronto-parietal scalp situated 2 cm left mastoial and 2.5 cm mid line scalp with blood and blood clots, two-dimensional head injury along with displaced fracture of the left parietal



bone and the opinion of the doctor has been opined to be grievous in nature, caused by hard and blunt substance and injuries are fresh in duration, so far as, the injury sustained by informant's wife is concerned, she has suffered an incised wound, subcutaneous tissue depth, linear in shape of length 10 cm and width of about 0.25cm to 0.50cm back of left side chest situated 2cm from vertebra and 8 cm from ancillary line caused by sharp-edged weapon, so considering all these aspects of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, gravity of injury and the impact that has caused on the vital part of the body of the injured, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Anand Kr.

U		T	
---	--	---	--

