

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.510 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5438 of 2020

=====

Deepti Foundation having its head office at Nagapath, Pali Road, Dehri-on-Sone, Rohtas, PIN- 821307, through its Secretary Ravi Shanker Pandey @ R. Pandey, resident of Nagapath, Pali Road, Dehri-on- Sone, Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Amir Subhani, the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Pratya Amrit, Principal Secretary, the Department of Health, Bihar.
3. Dharmendra Kumar, The District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram.
4. Dr. Kameshwar Nath Tiwari, the Civil Surgeon, Sasaram, Rohtas.
5. Dr. Bhagwan Singh The Deputy Superintendent-cum- Secretary, Rogi Kalyan Samiti, Sadar Hospital, Sasaram, Rohtas.
6. Dr. Sanjeev Kumar Sinha, the Superintendent cum President, Rogi Kalyan Samiti, Sub-Divisional Hospital, Dehri, Rohtas.
7. Dr. Sudhir Kumar, the Medical Officer incharge cum President, Primary Health Centre, Dehri, Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Singh, AC to SC-23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR)

3 19-06-2026 Heard Mr. Alok, learned Counsel for the petitioner and

Mr. Sudhir Kumar Singh, learned Counsel for the State.

2. The present application has been filed seeking initiation of a contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for their willful and deliberate disobedience of the order of this Court dated 10.10.2022 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 5438 of 2020.



3. A show-cause affidavit has been filed on behalf of O.P. Nos. 4 and 6. It is categorically averred that in the light of the representation filed, the claim of the petitioner was duly considered and accordingly, an amount of Rs. 2,72,497/- has been credited in his account on 15.03.2023.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the claim of the petitioner has not been considered in the manner, which was required to be done and he is still aggrieved with the action of the respondents.

5. Having considered the submission advanced and the averments made in the show-cause affidavit, this Court does not find any reason or occasion to continue with the present proceeding. Accordingly, the present proceeding stands closed.

6. However, if the petitioner is aggrieved, he can resort to remedies available under the law.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/rohit-

U			
---	--	--	--

