

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13120 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-17 Year-2026 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
Begusarai

-
1. Nilesh Kumar S/O Uma Shankar Singh R/O Vill- Nayagaon P.s- Nayagaon,
Dist.- Begusarai
 2. Kundan Kumar S/O Uma shankar Singh R/O Vill- Nayagaon P.s- Nayagaon,
Dist.- Begusarai
 3. Himanshu Kumar S/O Mukesh Kunwar R/O Vill- Nayagaon P.s- Nayagaon,
Dist.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shubhesh Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Khurshid Anwar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

3 13-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the
learned APP for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
petitioners were granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by an
order dated 10.03.2026, but at Para-3 inadvertently it has been
recorded that petitioner no. 2 has antecedent of four cases when
he has antecedent of five cases and the same has been pleaded at
Para-3 of the anticipatory bail application.

3. The learned APP for the State does not dispute the
said submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the petitioners.

4. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties,
the order dated 10.03.2026 is modified to the extent that at Para-



3 with respect to petitioner no. 2 it shall be read that he has antecedent of five cases instead of four cases and accordingly Para-7 of the order dated 10.03.2026 also shall be read as- It is made clear that thereafter the learned trial court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that petitioner no. 1 has antecedent of more than five cases, petitioner no. 2 has antecedent of more than five cases and petitioner no. 3 has antecedent of more than three cases, it would be presumed that petitioners had concealed their antecedent before this Court at para 3 of the anticipatory bail application in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if it is found on verification that petitioner no. 1 has antecedent of only five cases, petitioner no. 2 has antecedent of only five cases and petitioner no. 3 has antecedent of only three cases in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

5. The order dated 10.03.2026 is modified to the extent indicated above.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

