

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15082 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-213 Year-2024 Thana- LALGANJ District- Vaishali

Chandan Kumar @ Chandan Rai S/O Rama Shankar Rai R/O Vill.- Agarpur
Barbanna, P.S- Lalganj, Dist- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in Lalganj P.S. Case No.
213 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable under
Sections 109, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, read
with Sections 25(1-B)(a), 27, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that some
unknown miscreants intercepted the informant and opened fire
upon him but somehow he managed to save himself. It is further
alleged that earlier Rs. 1,00,00,000/- was demanded as
Rangdari from the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. No incriminating material has been recovered from



the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of self-confession of the petitioner and also from the confessional statement of co-accused person and the same has got no evidentiary value. It is next submitted that no specific allegation has been attributed against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. Neither any arms have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner nor any injury has been caused to the informant. The petitioner is in custody since 20.11.2025 and has got ten criminal antecedents. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 24.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 4373 of 2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail *after framing of charge, if not already framed*, on furnishing bail bonds of



Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Lalganj P.S. Case No. 213 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial and shall not remain absent on two consecutive dates without sufficient cause.

(III) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence or the witnesses during the trial.

(IV) Petitioner shall not commit offence of similar nature in future.

(V) The petitioner shall not leave the territorial jurisdiction of the learned Court below without taking prior permission of the Court concerned.

If any of the above conditions are violated, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

