

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13184 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-345 Year-2025 Thana- SAHEBPUR KAMAL District-
Begusarai

-
1. Pushpanjali Devi @ Pushpa Devi Wife of Manoj Kumar Thakur @ Manoj Thakur Resident of Village- Panchveer West, Ward no. 02, P.S.- SAhebpur Kamal, District- Begusarai
 2. Manoj Kumar Thakur @ Manoj Thakur Son of Late Lakshmi Thakur Resident of Village- Panchveer West, Ward no. 02, P.S.- SAhebpur Kamal, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Sarvottam Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mrs. Nirmala Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 29-04-2026 Heard Mr. Raj Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP representing the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sahebpur Kamal P.S. Case No. 345 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 80 and 3(5) of the B.N.S., lodged on 13.12.2025 by the informant, Ranjana Devi.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that the victim lady was married on 01.12.2024 with Aditya Kumar Thakur but was tortured for dowry and on 12.12.2025,



got the information about her killing. This led to the F.I.R.

4. Earlier, the coordinate Bench had called for the case diary which is on record alongwith the postmortem report.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law, the husband is in custody. They were living separately having no role to play in the matter as the cause of death has been recorded as asphyxia due to hanging.

6. He has further taken this Court to the supervision note as recorded in paragraph no.35 of the case diary by the S.D.P.O, Balia wherein it has been recorded that so far as the husband is concerned, the case has been found to be true while for these two petitioners, they wanted further investigation beside the role of Saloni Kumari vis-a-vis, the husband has also been directed to be investigated.

7. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that they being the parents in-law their role cannot be ignored.

8. Considering the submissions of the parties as recorded aforesaid as also the supervision note, the cause of the death has been recorded as asphyxia due to hanging, these two petitioners are parents in-law, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail.



9. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Judge-IV-cum-Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IV, Begusarai, in connection with Sahebpur Kamal P.S. Case No. 345 of 2025 subject to the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document (Aadhar Card/Voter Id/Driving License/Pan Card) to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for



cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

