

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19297 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-33 Year-2025 Thana- SONO District- Jamui

=====

Aftab Ansari @ Aftab Ansari Son of Musho Miyan Resident of village -
Mogal Chapri, P.S. Sono, District - Jamui

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Y Son of Ramdhani Pandit Resident of village - Mogal Chapri, P.S.- Sono,
District - Jamui

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate
	:	Mr. Purushottam Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
17.01.2026 in connection with Sono P.S. Case No. 33 of 2025
for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 140(3) and
3(5) of BNS and Sections 4 and 12 of POCSO Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that O.P.
No.2 lodged written application addressed to the Officer
Incharge of Sono Police Station stating therein inter alia that his
daughter 'x' aged 16 years went out of the house on
5.2.2025 at around 4.00 A.M. when her neighbour Aftab Ansari
(petitioner), Aasir Miyan @ Chamru Miyan, Dablu Ansari,
Kitabul Ansari, Alam Ansari, Atiya Khatoon, wife of Nasir
Miyan, wife of Kitabul Ansari, wife of Alam, wife of Dablu
Ansari under a conspiracy forcibly kidnapped his daughter on
vehicle bearing registration no. BR46M9034 and the same was



done by Aftab Ansari. He tried to locate his daughter but failed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It appears from the F.I.R. that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, on the basis of the impugned order has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submits that victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 of BNSS has fully supported the case of the prosecution. Apart from that the medical report of the victim suggests that she has been sexually assaulted by the petitioner and date of birth of victim is 08.01.2009 which suggests that on the date of occurrence the victim was a minor.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Sono P.S. Case No. 33 of 2025 pending in the court of learned Exclusive Special Judge (POCSO), Jamui.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

