

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14623 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-68 Year-2025 Thana- Hattha District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Beenita Devi Wife of Pramod Ray @ Pramod Kumar, Resident of Village-
Lohrakha, Ward No.-3, P.S.- Hattha, Dist- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nachiketa Jha, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Singh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Hattha P.S. Case No.68 of 2025 instituted under Sections
137(2), 140(3), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant
alongwith his family came to his *Sasural* at Village Lharakha,
District Muzaffarpur from Ludhiana on 28.05.2025. The wife of
the informant gave Rs.6,00,000/- to co-accused Manoj Ray for
purchase of land and the said Manoj Ray told to the wife of
informant that he will execute the land when they will come
next time. On 01.06.2026 all the accused persons including the
petitioner came at the *sasural* house of informant and told them
to come to their house to discuss about the land related matters.



The wife of informant with his son gone to the house of accused persons but did not return and informant knew that the accused persons took his wife and son somewhere forcibly on four wheeler. He further stated that the accused persons might kill his wife and son to usurp the money given for purchase of land.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case due to being the sister-in-law of the co-accused Manoj Ray. He further submits that the alleged occurrence took place on 01.06.2025, however, the F.I.R. has been lodged on 10.06.2025 i.e. after 9 days of the alleged occurrence without any plausible explanation by the informant. Learned counsel submits that the victim has love affairs with the co-accused Manoj Ray and she implicated the petitioner and her family members in the false case with ulterior motive. He further submits that petitioner is a lady having no criminal antecedent and she undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial of the case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and the nature of allegation against the petitioner as well as her clean



antecedent, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M. 1st Class, (East), Muzaffarpur/ concerned Court in connection with Hattha P.S. Case No.68 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Ritik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

