

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14981 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-430 Year-2025 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

Suraj Kumar Son of Ajay Kumar @ Ajay Singh Resident of Village-
Khanwan, P.S.- Narhat, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Sr. Advocate Mr. Bipin Kumar, Advocate Mr. Vibhuti Ranjan Sonvadra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

7 14-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 430 of 2025 instituted for the offence
under Section 140(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on
19.08.2025, the informant's 14-year-old son, who had gone to
play near a brick kiln, went missing and could not be traced
despite extensive search. On the following morning, the
informant received threatening messages and a video from his
son's mobile phone, allegedly from the "*Bhaiyajee Gang*"
demanding ransom and threatening to kill the boy if the money



was not paid.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 25.08.2025. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedents, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case during investigation on the basis of mobile tower location of the deceased. Save and except confessional statement of the petitioner, there is no material against the petitioner and also the same has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. As per postmortem report, there is no visible injuries found over the body of the deceased.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is fervently submitted that petitioner in his confessional statement has confessed his guilt. It is next submitted that mobile of the deceased has been recovered from the petitioner's possession. It is lastly submitted that charge sheet in this case is submitted under Sections 140(2), 103(1), 238 & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.



7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being material against the petitioner in the case diary as the recovery of mobile phone of the deceased from the the petitioner's possession, this Court, at this stage, is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is hereby *rejected*.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments preferably within a period of six months.

9. However, petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the court below, if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from the date of receipt./production of a copy of this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

