

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.702 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-465 Year-2025 Thana- RAHUI District- Nalanda

=====

Jitu Bind @ Jitendra Bind Son of Ram Briksh Bind Resident of village-
Husainpur, Ps- Rahui, Dist- Nalanda

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Karan Kumar Son of Ajay Paswan Resident of village- Masiadih, Ps- Bind,
Dist- Nalanda

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Rana Baljit Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Usha Kumari 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 23-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned
Special Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The present appeal has been preferred against the order dated 12.01.2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Special Judge, SC/ST Act, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda, in connection with Rahui P.S. Case No. 465 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 115(2), 125(a), 125(b), 352, 351(2), and 109(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and Section 27 of the Arms Act, and Sections 3(1)(r)(s) and 3(2)(v) of the SC/ST Act, whereby the prayer for bail of the appellant was rejected.

3. As per the prosecution case, the appellant is said to



have assaulted the victim and fired upon the victim which hit the father of the informant causing gunshot injury.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the appellant is in custody since 05.08.2025.

5. Learned Special Public Prosecutor for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the nature and gravity of the offence, and the materials available on record, this Court finds that there are serious allegations against the appellant including assault and use of a firearm resulting in gunshot injury to the father of the informant, and therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the appellant. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the appellant is hereby rejected.

7. It is made clear that the observations made herein are *prima facie* in nature and confined only to the adjudication of the present appeal for bail and shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

