

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15333 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-224 Year-2025 Thana- SARAI District- Vaishali

=====

Dinesh Paswan Son of Sonphool Paswan @ Sonphul Paswan Resident of
Village- Bhatauli Bhagwan, Kartahan Buzurg, P.S.- Kartahan, District-
Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shanti Bhushan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard Mr. Shanti Bhushan Singh, learned counsel

for the petitioner and Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, learned APP for

the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Sarai P.S. Case No. 224 of 2025 for the offence
under sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act
lodged on 31.08.2025 by the informant, Ranjeet Paswan.

3. As per the prosecution story, the police intercepted
a motorcycle and there is a recovery of 5 litres of country-made
liquor. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of
the petitioner nor he owns the motorcycle. Lastly, he do not



have any criminal antecedent.

5. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner relied on the judgment of the Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089** wherein it has been held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that as per the allegation he was driving the vehicle.

7. Taking into account the submissions of the parties and the judgment in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)**, as also that nothing has been recovered from his conscious possession nor he has criminal antecedent, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail with conditions.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned Exclusive Prohibition and Excise Court
(II) Cum District and Additional Sessions Judge, Hajipur,
Vaishali in connection with Sarai P.S. Case No. 224 of 2025
subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for six months to mark his attendance and after the end of the period, the certificate shall be submitted before the Trial Court failing which steps shall be taken for the cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for



cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

