

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13443 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2025 Thana- BADHAILA District- Rohtas

Poonam Devi Wife of Sri Shailesh Paswan Resident of Village, Post and PS
-Baghela Distt -Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Singh No.10

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Murli Dhar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of her
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 103(1), 238 and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of one case and is a woman and the
informant alleges that her husband on 12.03.2025 at 5.30 P.M.
came home from his shop, thereafter her elder brother came and
started abusing her husband and an altercation started, hence the
informant came out of the house hearing the noise when her
brother in-law brought a knife and stabbed her husband
indiscriminately and even stabbed on his neck and on alarm
villagers gathered and took her husband to the hospital where



doctors declared him dead. The informant thereafter came back home and saw the petitioner cleaning the blood and thereafter fled locking the door.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that thrust of the allegation of killing the husband of the informant is against the husband of the instant petitioner who is owned brother of the deceased. It is next submitted that the informant does not allege that petitioner was also present at the place of occurrence when the occurrence took place. It is next submitted that at best the allegation is of cleaning the blood at the place of occurrence which is a bailable offence. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove her innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail



on her furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Baghaila P. S. Case No.50 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event, if any application is filed by the Investigating Officer before the learned trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation or is not presenting herself as and when required, the learned trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Police Station through the learned trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

