

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13101 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2025 Thana- SAMASTIPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Samastipur

=====

Satya Prakash S/o Mandan Prasad Singh, R/o Village - Kishunwara, P.S -
Patepur, District - Vaishali at Hajipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Arvind Thakur S/o Late Ram Chandra Thakur R/o Village - Gorain, P.S -
Chakmehsi, District - Samastipur
3. Anshu Kumari W/o Satya Prakash D/o Arvind Thakur, R/o Village - Gorain,
P.S - Chakmehsi, District - Samastipur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bijay Bhushan Prasad, Adv. Ms. Rani Shashi Bharti, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Rajendra Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 26-02-2026

Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with C.R. No. 15 of 2025 in which cognizance has been taken under section 85 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant matter is based on the complaint filed by the father-in-law of the petitioner with certain allegations pertaining to the matrimonial life of the petitioner, in fact the petitioner's wife, who is here O.P. No. 3, was already a married lady when she solemnized marriage with this petitioner and her earlier



marriage was with one Ashutosh Kumar Chaudhary, S/o Arvind Chaudhary, R/o village Gosnagar, P.S. Basopatti, Dist Madhubani. It is further submitted that the O.P. No. 3 without getting her first marriage dissolved through legal process entered into marriage with the petitioner, which forced the petitioner to file a divorce case against his wife, being Divorce Case No. 482/2024, before the family court, Hajipur and only thereafter, the father-in-law of the petitioner filed the present complaint case, which shows malicious intention on the part of the O.P. No. 2 in filing of the said complaint case. It is lastly submitted that there is no any medical evidence to support the allegation of physical cruelty.

4. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer of the petitioner.

5. In the facts and circumstances of this case, considering the aforesaid submissions, the pleas taken by the petitioner in this petition, this Court is inclined to grant the relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court
concerned in connection with C.R. No. 15 of 2025, subject to
the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

