

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13465 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-419 Year-2025 Thana- BAHERA District- Darbhanga

=====

Pankaj Kumar Son of Raj Kishor Das Resident of Village - Benipur, P.S.-  
Bahera, District - Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saurav Anand, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sucheta Yadav, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 2      15-04-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the  
Bihar Excise Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of  
recovery of 1842 liters of liquor from a truck.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing  
was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the  
owner of the seized truck and came to be implicated based on



secret information which is the easiest way to implicate someone without holding a proper investigation. It is also submitted that of late police has started implicating accused with criminal antecedent in cases relating to excise for obvious reasons.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bahera P.S. Case No. 419 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be



confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one case only, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

