

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13441 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2025 Thana- CHHATAPUR District- Supaul

Md. Hasib Son of Md. Farook Resident of village- Jhakhargadh, ward no 3,
P.S. -Chhatapur, District -Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar bihar
2. XXX Wife of YYY Resident of village- Lalganj, Ward no. 15, P.S.
-Chhatapur, District -Supaul

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Nafisu Zzoha Mr. Nasar Iqbal Mr. Afsha Mokhtar
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 27-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Chhatapur P.S. Case No 16 of 2025 / POCSO Case No. 53 of
2025 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2),
69, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is
accused of establishing sexual relationship with the victim on
the pretext of marriage.

4. The petitioner is in custody since 20.12.2025.
Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is
innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further



submits that from the statement of the victim it appears that the petitioner and the victim were in relationship.

5. Learned counsel for the informant has vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, this application is allowed.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned Court below in connection with Chhatapur P.S. Case No. 16 of 2025 / POCSO Case No. 53 of 2025.

8. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/-

U			
---	--	--	--

