

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14178 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-768 Year-2023 Thana- AHIYAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

Raushan Kumar, Son of Durga Sah, R/o Village- Mahmudpur, P.S.- Ahiyapur,
District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Aditi Medha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Ahiyapur P.S. Case no.768 of 2023 registered under sections 307, 341, 323, 324, 379 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the four accused persons are said to have come variously armed. It is stated that the petitioner is said to have given a knife blow on the head of the son of the informant leading to grievous injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. There is case and counter case between the parties, the correct version having been given in the counter case, F.I.R. of which is Annexure-2 to the petition. Co-accused Pankaj Kumar @ Badal, Rahul Kumar



and Durga Sah have been enlarged on anticipatory bail vide orders brought on record as Annexures-3 & 4 to the petition. The petitioner is in custody since 14.12.2025 and has no criminal antecedent. He is a student and undertakes to cooperate in the investigation.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the F.I.R. wherein the petitioner is said to have given a knife blow on the head of the son of the informant, the contents of the injury report of the informant's son which has been reproduced in paragraph no.5 of the order of the learned trial Court rejecting the application for bail of the petitioner wherein corresponding injuries have been found on the person and which have been opined to be grievous in nature, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

7. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after six months.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

