

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12574 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-553 Year-2024 Thana- AGAMKUAN District- Patna

=====

KUMAR NAVNEET @ KUMAR NAVENEET Son of Sandesh Prasad Singh
Resident of Village - Mohanpur, P.S.- Punpun, District - Patna at present
residing of Mahatma Gandhi Nagar, Plastic Factory Road, P.S.- Agamkuan,
District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Renu Singh Wife of Yogeshwar Prasad Singh Resident of village - Rampur,
P.S.- Dhanarua, District - Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Mohan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Gulnar Begum, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State, Ms. Gulnar Begum.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 201, 304-
B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that her daughter was married to the petitioner in the
year 2011, after marriage, the accused persons were demanding
a car and on account of nonfulfillment of demand, the victim
was tortured, further out of the wedlock, two daughters were
born, but all the expenses were borne by the informant, further



alleges that on 04.06.2022, an amount of Rs. 1 Lakh was transferred in the account of the petitioner, on account of dowry demand, next alleges that informant received an information on 12.09.2023 that the accused persons including the petitioner have killed her daughter and have disposed the dead body, accordingly, she went to the house of the petitioner, but was not allowed to enter the house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner, being husband,, has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that marriage of the petitioner with the deceased was performed in the year 2011 and the instant FIR came to be instituted in the year 2024 and the wife of the petitioner died on 10.09.2023. It is next submitted that in between 2011 till 09.09.2023, no case ever came to be instituted either by the informant or the deceased alleging demand of dowry and torture. It is further submitted that no doubt an amount of Rs. 1 Lakh was credited in the account of the petitioner, but then that was not on account of any dowry demand. It is next submitted that no prudent person would accept money on account of dowry in his own account and thus would create evidence against himself. It is further submitted that his wife died a natural death and thereafter the



body was cremated after getting it certified by the doctor based on which death certificate of the deceased was issued by the Government of Bihar, Department of Planning and Developing, Kankarbagh Circle, Patna Municipal Corporation. It is further submitted that had the death been unnatural in that event the death certificate would not have been issued. It is also submitted that the informant herself alleges in the FIR that she was informed that her daughter was killed on 10.09.2023, but still the FIR was instituted on 07.07.2024 i.e. after a delay of more than 10 months which amply explains that by way of afterthought, the instant FIR came to be instituted. It is next submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection



with Agamkuan P.S. Case No. 553 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

