

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17095 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2025 Thana- LAKHNAUR District- Madhubani

Jagarnath Thakur @ Chunnu Son of Vishnunath Thakur Resident of Village -
Kachhuwa, P.S.- Lakhnour, District - Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Baleshwar Kamat, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(20, 109, 303(2), 352 & 351(2) of the BNS.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner has assaulted with iron rod on the head of the informant and on his hand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that from perusal of the order of the learned trial court it will transpire that the injury on hand is



grievous which is bailable in nature. It has further been submitted that from perusal of the FIR itself it is clear that the date of occurrence is 13.04.2025 whereas the FIR was lodged on 12.05.2025, i.e. after a month. It has further been submitted that as per the FIR, the informant was under treatment and villagers have advised him *panchayati* due to which delay has been caused in filing of the FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the explanation of delay is not sufficient and the injury which is on hand is bailable in nature and regarding head injury it is recorded in the order of the learned trial court that victim has received stitched wound of size 6cm present over left parietal region of scalp. Learned counsel for the petitioner has lastly submitted that the petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is in judicial custody since 16.12.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge both the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Lakhnour P.S. Case No. 34 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of



Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial
Magistrate-I, Jhanjharpur, District-Madhubani.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

