

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15703 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-629 Year-2025 Thana- BARH District- Patna

1. Ragho Yadav @ Faudi Kumar Son of Late Naresh Yadav Resident of Village- Mankaura, Naya Bigha, Ps- Barh, Dist- Patna
2. Shankar Yadav Son of Late Naresh Yadav Resident of Village- Mankaura, Naya Bigha, Ps- Barh, Dist- Patna
3. Vishwanath Yadav @ Vishwanath Kumar Son of Late Naresh Yadav Resident of Village- Mankaura, Naya Bigha, Ps- Barh, Dist- Patna
4. Lalu Yadav @ Lal Kumar Son of Late Naresh yadav Resident of Village- Mankaura, Naya Bigha, Ps- Barh, Dist- Patna
5. Awadhesh Kumar Yadav Son of Late Naresh Yadav Resident of Village- Mankaura, Naya Bigha, Ps- Barh, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 25-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party no.2.

2. The petitioners who apprehend arrest in connection with Barh P.S. Case No. 629 of 2025 lodged on 09.11.2025, for the offences punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 352 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution, the F.I.R. has been lodged against four named accused persons, including the present petitioners, alleging therein that they came armed with a



country-made pistol and a fodder-cutting tool (husk cutter) and assaulted the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. It is further submitted that the parties are adjacent neighbours and well known to each other. There is a long-standing land dispute between them, and for the same date and place of occurrence, there exists a case and counter-case between the parties. It is also submitted that proceedings under Section 144 Cr.P.C. are pending between the parties, wherein notices have already been issued.

5. Learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there are specific allegations against the petitioners of having assaulted the informant by the butt of a pistol. However, he concedes that a land dispute is pending between the parties.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

7. In the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above-named petitioners be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of ₹30,000/-



(Rupees Thirty Thousand only) each, as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the *Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, to the satisfaction of the **learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-1, Barh, Patna, in connection with the aforementioned case**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the *Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023* as well as the following conditions :

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member of the petitioners who shall provide official document to show his *bona fide*; and

(ii) The petitioners shall furnish an undertaking before the Trial Court to the effect that he shall not commit such an offence in future, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take appropriate steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

