

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12642 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-289 Year-2024 Thana- CHAKIA District- East Champaran

Sachin Kumar S/o Rajeshwar Sah @ Rajeshvar Sah Resident of village -
Mani Chhapra, P.S.- Chakia, Distt.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek Kumar, Advocate

Ms. Harsha Shashwat, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that on 29-8-2024 at 9:30 pm, while he was returning
home on his motorcycle along with Tauhid, when he was
intercepted by the accused persons including the petitioner
along with 20-25 unknown accused and Bittu assaulted the
informant by sword twice causing injury on hand and head,
thereafter Rahul assaulted indiscriminately by rod causing



injury on hand, mouth, ear and eye, while Satyendra and petitioner assaulted Tauhid with lathi and Bittu along with Rahul snatched his chain.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then petitioner along with Satyendra are alleged to have assaulted Tauhid. It is further submitted that injury suffered by Tauhid has been recorded in the order impugned as – swelling in left elbow and bruise on right shoulder of 2cm x 2cm. It is thus submitted that even the injury suffered by Tauhid is simple in nature. It is next submitted that specific allegation of assaulting Tauhid is not against petitioner rather it is alleged that petitioner along with Satyendra have assaulted. It is also submitted that petitioner is not a criminal.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail



bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No. 289 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

