

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13287 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2024 Thana- RAMNAGAR District- West Champaran

1.

Mohan Dom S/O Pahwari Dom R/O Village- Sabuni Pokhara, P.S- Ramnagar, Distt.- West Champaran.
2.

Rohit Dom S/O Dinesh Dom R/O Village- Sabuni Pokhara, P.S- Ramnagar, Distt.- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Milind Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2

25-02-2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection with Sessions Trial No. 1361 of 2025, arising out of Ramnagar P.S. Case No.195 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 07.05.2024, Gautam Dom along with his wife Binaka Devi came to the house of the informant and alleged that his son Sameer Dom has fled away with the daughter of Gautam Dom, namely, Puja Kumari and that they will take away Anchal Kumari until Puja Kumari is back home. When the informant objected then the



petitioners along with 13 persons pressed the neck of the informant's daughter and killed her.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. During course of investigation in para-32, one Ruksana Devi has stated that Gautam, Manohar and Rahul pulled the deceased to the house of Gautam Dom where Gautam Dom assaulted and pressed her neck due to which she died. From perusal of the F.I.R. itself it is clear that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus. There is no allegation of any specific overt act against the petitioners and from perusal of the diary also it transpires that if there is any direct allegation then that is against Gautam. A statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 29.11.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named



petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each of them with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Additional Sessions Judge-III, Bagaha, West Champaran in connection with Ramnagar P.S. Case No. 195 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

