

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15073 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-174 Year-2025 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Gopalganj

Aditya Kumar Son of Santosh Prasad R/o Vill.- Amaithi Khurd, P.S.- Thawe,
Distt.- Gopalganj, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashwat Srivastava, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-03-2026 Heard Mr.Shashwat Srivastava,learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, learned A.P.P. for
the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
18.10.2025 in connection with Cyber (Gopalganj) P.S. Case No.
174 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 18.10.2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 319(2), 317(2),
317(4), 336(3) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 66(C) of
Information Technology Act, 2000.

3. According to prosecution case, it transpires that
petitioner and co-accused person, namely, Abhishek Kumar are
named in the FIR, who were caught on spot and huge amount as
Rs. 1,05,10,900/- cash kept in a box, 75 bank passbook, ATM
card, 28 cheque book, Adhar card, pan card, passport,



registration certificate card, driving license, three mobile phones, two lap-tops, Rs. 38950/- cash, 79 foreign currency notes, 344 gram yellow colour metal like gold, 1.75 k.g. white colour metal like silver, pan card, one bank notice, four ATM card, four wrist watch and 17 sim-cards were recovered from the house of the accused Abhishek Kumar. In the confessional-statement of petitioner and co-accused person, namely, Abhishek Kumar, they stated about their complicity in this case and they have stated that they are indulged in the commission of cyber fraud.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. The allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR, who was caught on spot and huge amount as Rs. 1,05,10,900/- cash kept in a box, 75 bank passbook, ATM card, 28 cheque book, Adhar card, pan card, passport, registration certificate card, driving license, three mobile phones, two lap-tops, Rs. 38950/- cash, 79 foreign currency notes, 344 gram yellow colour metal like gold, 1.75



k.g. white colour metal like silver, pan card, one bank notice, four ATM card, four wrist watch and 17 sim-cards were recovered from the house of the petitioner. Further submits that the informant in para-6 of the case diary has fully supported the case of the prosecution. More witnesses in paragraphs-18 to 22 of the case diary have also fully supported the case of the prosecution. Paragraph Nos. 37 to 39 of the case diary, it is evident that for verification of the account numbers of the petitioner involved in the crime.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Cyber (Gopalganj) P.S. Case No. 174 of 2025, pending in the court of learned A.C.J.M.-V, Gopalganj.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

