

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14539 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-49 Year-2024 Thana- PARBATTa District- Khagaria

Pushpendra Kumar Singh @ Puspendra Kumar Son of Sharda Prasad Singh
R/o Village - Agwani, P.S. - Parbatta, District - Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vivekanand Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

4 01-07-2026 Heard Mr. Vivekanand Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner, the State as also Mr. Buddhi Lal Yadav, learned counsel representing the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Parbatta P.S. Case No. 49 of 2024 for the offence registered under sections 341, 323, 504, 506, 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code and 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that due to land dispute, the accused side armed variously came and opened fire causing injuries to the informant. Specific allegation is against this petitioner of hitting the chest of the informant. He was shifted to Primary Health Centre, Parbatta, then to Mayaganj Government Hospital, Bhagalpur and finally to the Fort U Medi Emergency Hospital Pvt. Ltd., Patna. This



followed the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is case and counter case, both sides have suffered and in fact, the injuries sustained by the petitioner and his son have been found to be grievous inasmuch as fire arm injuries have been found on the person.

5. Learned counsel for the informant has taken this Court to the FIR to show that petitioner was one of the active player in the opening of the fire which hit the right chest of the informant while the fire arms of other accused persons hit in his hand.

6. Having heard the parties, this Court can only observe that it is unfortunate that though both the parties are agnates belonging to the same family, on a petty land dispute, both have resorted to firing as a result whereof, have sustained serious injuries. Two FIRs are there, both sides will be fighting it out before the respective Courts whereas the land will remain at the place where it is. This despite the fact that besides the local Panchayat, appropriate Civil Court can very well decide such cases.

7. So far as the present case is concerned, considering the grave allegation that has come, no relief can be granted.

8. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.



9. However, if the petitioner surrenders within four weeks from today, the Court concerned shall take up the matter and dispose it of preferably on the same day.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

