

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14239 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-423 Year-2025 Thana- KAKO District- Jehanabad

SUNIL YADAV @ SUNIL SINGH Son of Sri Raja Ram Yadav @ Raja Ram Singh Resident of Village - Guhi Bigha, P.S.- Belawar, Kako, District - Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.P raveen Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109(1), 76, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5), 118(1) and 110(1) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that on 19.11.2025 at 10:00 AM while he was in his field along with his wife when accused persons including the petitioner came and accused persons assaulted, it is next alleged that accused assaulted him on his testicles while the petitioner trampled his chest causing injury on rib and also acted



inappropriately with his wife along with Saurav and Sweety along with Kiran snatched his wife's earring and Juitiya.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to land, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is next submitted that though it is alleged that petitioner trampled the chest of the informant causing injury on rib, but then from perusal of the injury report, it would manifest that the same does not corroborate the allegation of assault and the injury has been opined to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. It is further submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail



bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kako (Bhelawar) P.S. Case No. 423 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

