

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12222 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2026 Thana- PAKARIBARAW District- Nawada

Subelal Chauhan @ Surajbhan Chauhan S/o Kailash Chauhan Resident of
Village- Chadihari, P.S.- Pakaribarawan, District- Nawada,

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Sr. Advocate Mr. Man Mohan Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Ms. Kiran Kumari, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 30-04-2026 Heard Mr. Ramakant Sharma, learned senior
counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Pranav Kumar, learned Additional
Public Prosecutor for the State as well as Ms. Kiran Kumari,
learned counsel for the Informant.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
14.01.2026 in connection with Pakribarawan P.S. Case No. 23
of 2026, F.I.R. dated 13.01.2026 for the offences punishable
under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 127(2), 74,76, 308(5), 132,
351(2), 352, 224 of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, informant is the
Principal of Nawsrijit School at Village Chirihari and it is
alleged that on 13.01.2026 at about 9.30 A.M when she was
working in the school, this petitioner came to the office and



demanded Rs. 10,00/- at rangdari. She refused to give the money and on this, the petitioner threatened to kill her and not let the school run. He also abused her and tore her blouse and pulled her out of office, assaulting her. He also closed the gate of the school and forcibly tried to take the informant to his Thar vehicle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He next submits that no such occurrence has taken place and due to some previous dispute, the informant has falsely implicated the petitioner in the present case. Infact the petitioner has complained before the District Magistrate, Nawada in respect of conduct of the informant and in response to that the District Magistrate, Nawada suspended the petitioner w.e.f from 27.03.2025 and for the same reason the informant has instituted false case against the petitioner and nothing has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 14.01.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and



submits that the petitioner carries five criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail application that the petitioner has been acquitted in one case and on bail in four cases.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-II, Nawada in connection with Pakribarawan P.S. Case No. 23 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his



criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

