

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14429 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-450 Year-2025 Thana- CHIRAIYA District- East Champaran

1. Guddu Kumar S/o Bharos Sah @ Rambharos Sah R/o Village - Balapur, P.S - Ghorasahan, District - East Champaran
2. Munna Kumar S/o Lakshman Prasad R/o Village - Balapur, P.S - Ghorasahan, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Chiraiya P.S. Case No. 450 of 2025 dated 15.10.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 111 of the B.N.S. and Section 25(1-B) (a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on secret information, a Tata Safari vehicle was intercepted and three persons were apprehended. It is alleged that from petitioner No.1, one loaded country-made pistol with four live cartridges was recovered, while from petitioner No.2, one loaded country-made pistol with



one live cartridge was recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the search and seizure is in violation of Section 103 of B.N.S.S. The petitioners is neither the owner nor the driver of the seized Safari vehicle and actually they were the gratuitous passenger and when the police came, the driver fled away and in fact the recovery was from the vehicle itself which was not within the knowledge of the petitioners. The petitioner No.1 has got no criminal antecedent while petitioner No.2 has one criminal antecedent relating to Excise Act and is not accused in similar nature of offence and they are in custody in this case since 16.10.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioners above-named, are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Sikarhana at Motihari, East Champaran, in connection with Chiraiya P.S. Case No. 450 of 2025.



7. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

