

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17101 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-660 Year-2025 Thana- RAMNAGAR District- West Champaran

Sonu Shukla @ Abhishek Kumar Son of Lallan Shukla Resident of Village- Ahirani Tola, Ward No. 28, P.S.- Bagaha, District- West Champaran. At Present- Resident of Village- Jura Pakdi, P.S.- Ramnagar, District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pratik Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

Mr. Gauri Shankar Thakur, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 30-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 324(4), 351(2), 334(1), 329(4) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of five cases as would manifest from the supplementary affidavit and the informant alleges that 3-11-2025 at 4 am in the morning, when he was sleeping on the upper floor of his house, he heard some noise and saw on the ground floor that some persons were cutting shutter of his godown by



gas cutter, accordingly his son, Ajay, came down and saw the named accused persons along with 20-25 unknown accused, who are armed with lathi, danda and farsa, cut his godown with gas cutter and looted articles worth Rs. 10 lakh, when his son protested, Khalid assaulted him on his head by rod causing injury, thereafter petitioner snatched gold chain worth Rs. 1.5 lakh from the neck of his son and when neighbours arrived, the accused left and Gaurav threatened the informant to vacate the house and their shop otherwise he would be killed next time.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that the allegation of assaulting the son of the informant is against Khalid and as far as the petitioner is concerned, he is alleged to have snatched the chain of the son of the informant and was also present at the place of occurrence. It is further submitted that a dispute is going on in between Khalid and the informant as Khalid had instituted a title suit for recovering of possession and rent against the informant in which an injunction application was also filed by Khalid, but then the same came to be rejected. It is also submitted that the said pleading has been made by the informant in his counter-affidavit filed in the instant anticipatory bail application. It is



thus submitted that informant and Khalid are known to each other from before, but then the instant FIR has been instituted giving an impression that the accused persons came with an intent to commit theft and loot.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner, but then the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant is not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that in the counter-affidavit, a plea has been taken that Khalid had instituted a title suit against the informant for recovery of possession and rent.

6. At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that the house in which the informant is residing is his ancestral house but Khalid by resorting to forgery got the said premises gifted in his favour by his own mother with an intent to grab the property of the informant.

7. Be that as it may, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial



court where the case is pending/successor court in connection
with Ramnagar P.S. Case No. 660 of 2025, subject to the
conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

