

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15670 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-223 Year-2025 Thana- JALALPUR District- Saran

=====

Pooja Devi @ Pooja Kumari W/O Vikash Kumar Giri R/O Village- G.S. Bangrara, P.S- Jalalpur, Dist.- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Prabhakar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rana Randhir Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Jalalpur P.S. Case No. 223 of 2025, F.I.R dated 06.10.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the sister of the informant, Kavita Devi, was married to Rajesh Giri of village G.S. Bangrara in the year 2012 according to Hindu rites and rituals. On 05.10.2025 at about 8:38 A.M., the informant received a phone call from his maternal niece informing him that Kavita Devi had been killed by her in-laws, including the petitioner, after assaulting her. When the informant contacted



the family members of his sister, they allegedly abused and avoided him. Later, his niece Nandita Kumari and nephew Aush Kumar informed him that the accused persons had assaulted their mother throughout the night and killed her by pressing her neck, leading to the lodging of the FIR.

4. Senior counsel Sri Mahesh Narayan Parbat appears for the petitioner and submits that allegations against this petitioner is general and omnibus, and nothing specific is said to have been alleged against this petitioner, and the petitioner is the wife of the brother-in-law of the deceased and had no concern with day-to-day affairs with the deceased. While the suicide was committed in her bedroom, and her room was locked from inside, and on having heard the noise in the family and information received from the villagers, the petitioner and other family members came to know about the incident, and for ulterior reason, the entire family has been roped in. Senior counsel further submits that today itself, by co-ordinate bench, the regular bail of the mother-in-law has already been extended, and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is in no way connected with the incident.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for



anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the facts that there is nothing specific against this petitioner, who is said to be the wife of the brother-in-law and allegations being general and omnibus. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Saran, Chapra in connection with Jalalpur P.S. Case No. 223 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail



cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

abhishekk/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

